

Applicant: Szajdecki et al.
Application No.: Unassigned
Filing Date: Herewith
Docket No.: 1387-9 PCT/US
Page 6

B. Amendments to the Claims:

Please amend the claims as follows:

Claim 1. (original): A device for data storing with logically separated areas comprising blocks (2, 3, 4) of a predetermined size created from a definite number of logically separated smallest areas (1), wherein larger blocks (3, 4) with a higher integration level are definite multiples of smaller blocks (2, 3) with a lower integration level, and the smaller blocks (2, 3) compose the larger blocks (3, 4) larger by one integration level, and integration of the logically separated smallest areas (1) is performed in recurrent manner till the integration covers the whole area of the device for data storing.

Claim 2. (currently amended): The device for data storing, according to claim 1, in which wherein a block (3, 4) with greater, by one, integration level has a memory size equal to a multiple of a size of blocks (2, 3) with smaller, by one, integration level, and the amount of information that is stored in the logically separated smallest area (1).

Claim 3. (currently amended): The device for data storing, according to claim 1, in which wherein a number of the logically separated smallest areas (1) in a block (2) of the minimal integration level is equal a number of bits that can be stored in the logically separated smallest area (1).

Claim 4. (currently amended): The device for data storing, according to claim 1, in which wherein blocks (2, 3, 4) of predetermined size have at least three states and information concerning their state is stored within their area or within the area of blocks with greater, by one, integration level.

Applicant: Szajdecki et al.
Application No.: Unassigned
Filing Date: Herewith
Docket No.: 1387-9 PCT/US
Page 7

Claim 5. (currently amended): The device for data storing, according to claim 1, in which wherein blocks (2, 3, 4) of predetermined size may be free, busy or fragmented.

Claim 6. (currently amended): The device for data storing, according to claim 1, in which wherein the logically separated smallest areas (1) have at least two states.

Claim 7. (currently amended): The device for data storing, according to claim 1, in which wherein the logically separated smallest areas (1) are either free or busy.

Claim 8. (currently amended): The device for data storing, according to claim 1, in which wherein the logically separated smallest areas (1) are the smallest areas of memory, which cannot be subdivided, and their multiplication, and their size depends upon the device for storing data.

Claim 9. (currently amended): The device for data storing, according to claim 1, in which wherein the logically separated smallest areas (1) have the size of 512 bits.

Claim 10. (currently amended): The device for data storing, according to claim 1, in which wherein the blocks (2, 3, 4) of predetermined size do not contain data concerning their state if they are completely busy or free and in that case related information is included in a greater block, with an integration level greater by one.

Claim 11. (original): A method for dividing space for data storing with logically separated areas comprising the following step:

creating blocks of predetermined size from a defined number of logically separated smallest areas wherein smaller blocks are combined recurrently into greater blocks till the partition covers the entire area of a device for storing data, and wherein greater blocks with a higher level of combination are a definite multiplication of smaller blocks with a lower level of

Applicant: Szajdecki et al.
Application No.: Unassigned
Filing Date: Herewith
Docket No.: 1387-9 PCT/US
Page 8

combination, and the smaller blocks are incorporated into the greater blocks greater by one level than the smaller blocks.

Claim 12. (currently amended): The method for dividing space, according to claim 11, ~~characterized in that wherein~~ a block (3, 4) with greater, by one, integration level has a memory size equal to a multiple of a size of blocks (2, 3) with smaller, by one, integration level, and the amount of information that is stored in the logically separated smallest area (1).

Claim 13. (currently amended): The method for dividing space, according to claim 11, ~~characterized in that wherein~~ a number of the logically separated smallest areas (1) in a block (2) of the minimal integration level is equal a number of bits that can be stored in the logically separated smallest area (1).

Claim 14. (currently amended): The method for dividing space, according to claim 11, ~~characterized in that wherein~~ blocks (2, 3, 4) of predetermined size have at least three states and information concerning theirs state is stored within their area or within the area of blocks with greater, by one, integration level.

Claim 15. (currently amended): The method for dividing space, according to claim 11, ~~characterized in that wherein~~ blocks (2, 3, 4) of predetermined size may be free, busy or fragmented.

Claim 16. (currently amended): The method for dividing space, according to claim 11, ~~characterized in that wherein~~ the logically separated smallest areas (1) have at least two states.

Claim 17. (currently amended): The method for dividing space, according to claim 11, ~~characterized in that wherein~~ the logically separated smallest areas (1) are either free or busy.

Applicant: Szajdecki et al.
Application No.: Unassigned
Filing Date: Herewith
Docket No.: 1387-9 PCT/US
Page 9

Claim 18. (currently amended): The method for dividing space, according to claim 11, ~~characterized in that~~ wherein the logically separated smallest areas (1) are the smallest areas of memory, which cannot be subdivided, and their multiplication, and their size depends upon the device for storing data.

Claim 19. (currently amended): The method for dividing space, according to claim 11, ~~characterized in that~~ wherein the logically separated smallest areas (1) have the size of 512 bits.

Claim 20. (currently amended): The method for dividing space, according to claim 11, ~~characterized in that~~ wherein the blocks (2, 3, 4) of predetermined size do not contain data concerning their state if they are completely busy or free and in that case related information is included in a greater block, with an integration level greater by one.